



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,757	7 12/21/2000 Derek L. Collison		002982.P005	1001	
8791	7590 07/22/2004	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			EL HADY, NABIL M		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		VENTITEOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R

		1					
		Application		Applicant(s)			
		09/746,75	7	COLLISON, DEREK L.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-		
		Nabil M El-		2154			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. O) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 December 20	000.				
•—	•	2b)⊠ This action is n					
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from cor					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•					
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	s by the Examiner. He		, (6,16), (7,16), (7,16)			
, ,	•	for formation and a state of the second	l 05 II O O 0 440(-)	(A) - (O			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	270.040	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2154

- 1. Claims 1-35 are pending in this application.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-19, 21-25, 27-30, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverman et al. (US 5,136,501), hereafter "Silverman".
- 4. As to claim 1, Silverman discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a method comprising: receiving, from a client computer, a point-to-point request message (col. 15, lines 4-6; XACT 30, Fig. 1; and XACT 120, Fig. 6); converting the point-to-point request message to a subject-based message (col.8, lines 53-55; and col. 15, lines 19-42); multicasting the subject-based message (col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6); receiving a response to the subject-based message (col. 8, line 67 to col. 9, line10); converting the response to the subject-based message to a point-to-point response message (col. 9, lines 18-26); and transmitting the point-to-point response message back to the client computer (col. 8, lines 23-28; and col. 9, lines 18-26).
- 5. Silverman discloses broadcasting the subject-based message. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Silverman's system and multicast the message to certain group (buyers and sellers) who are qualified based on

Art Unit: 2154

specified criteria instead of broadcasting the message to all. This would result in faster message transmission by decreasing the load on the communication network.

- 6. As to claim 14, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. In addition, a machine-readable medium that provides instructions, which when executed by a processor, cause said processor to perform operations comprising: receiving, from a client computer, a point-to-point request message; converting the point-to-point request message to a subject-based message; multicasting the subject-based message; receiving a response to the subject-based message to a point-to-point response message; and transmitting the point-to-point response message back to the client computer, is inherent in Silverman's disclosure.
- As to claim 27, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim1above. In addition, Silverman discloses an application server coupled to a network (24b, Fig. 6; and col. 14, lines 47-52), the application server comprising: a database having data (110, 114, Fig. 6); a processor coupled to the database (obvious), the processor to process subject-based messages received from a server (20, Fig. 6; col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6), the subject-based messages to include requests for data content wherein the subject-based messages are generated from point-to-point messages received from a client computer (24a, Fig. 6; col. 14, lines 47-52; and XACT 120, Fig. 6), the processing including: listening for a subject-based request message being received from the network (col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6); extracting portions of the data in the database based on the request in the subject-based message; generating a subject-based response message that includes the portions of the data extracted from the database; and

Art Unit: 2154

transmitting the subject-based response message back to the server (col. 8, line 67 to col. 9, line10; col. 8, lines 23-28; and col. 9, lines 18-26).

- 8. As to claim 8, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. In addition, Silverman discloses a method for processing a point-to-point request, the method comprising: receiving, from a client computer, the point-to-point request (col. 15, lines 4-6; XACT 30, Fig. 1; and XACT 120, Fig. 6); converting the point-to-point request to a subject-based message (col.8, lines 53-55; and col. 15, lines 19-42); multicasting the subject-based message to a number of application servers across a network (col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6); receiving a response to the subject-based message from one of the number of application servers (col. 8, line 67 to col. 9, line10); extracting content from the response and generating a point-to-point response using the content from the response (col. 9, lines 18-26); and sending the point-to-point response back to the client computer (col. 8, lines 23-28 ;and col. 9, lines 18-26).
- 9. Silverman does not disclose the point-to-point request based on Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP). However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the well-known HTTP as the conventional protocol used for communication between different components in the network.
- 10. As to claim 21, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8 above. In addition, a machine-readable medium that provides instructions for processing a point-to point request which when executed by a processor, cause said processor to perform operations comprising: receiving, from a client computer, the point-to-point request; converting the

Art Unit: 2154

point-to-point request to a subject-based message; multicasting the subject-based message to a number of application servers across a network; receiving a response to the subject-based message from one of the number of application servers; extracting content from the response; generating a point-to-point response using the content from the response; and sending the point-to-point response back to the client computer, is inherent in Silverman's disclosure.

11. As to claim 32, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1, 8, and 27 above. In addition, Silverman discloses a system comprising: a server coupled to a network (20, 22, Fig. 1), the server to receive a point-to-point request message and to process the point-to-point request message (col. 15, lines 4-6; XACT 30, Fig. 1; and XACT 120, Fig. 6), the processing of the point-to-point request message including: converting the point-to-point request message to a subject-based message (col.8, lines 53-55; and col. 15, lines 19-42); multicasting the subject-based message (col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6); receiving a response to the subject-based message (col. 8, line 67 to col. 9, line10); converting the subject-based message to a point-to-point response message (col. 9, lines 18-26); and transmitting the point-to-point response message back (col. 8, lines 23-28; and col. 9, lines 18-26); and a number of application servers coupled to the network (24b, Fig. 6; and col. 14, lines 47-52), each of the number of application servers comprising: a database having data (110, 114, Fig. 6); a processor coupled to the database (obvious), the processor to process subject-based messages received from the server (20, Fig. 6; col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6), the processing of the subject-based message including: listening for a subject-based request message being received from the network (col. 7, lines 45-48; col. 8, lines 46-49; 34, Fig. 1; and 132, Fig. 6); extracting portions of the data in the database based on the request in the subject-based message; generating a subject-based

Art Unit: 2154

response message that includes the portions of the data extracted from the database; and transmitting the subject-based response message back to the server (col. 8, line 67 to col. 9, line10; col. 8, lines 23-28; and col. 9, lines 18-26).

- 12. Silverman does not disclose the point-to-point request based on Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) from a web browser. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the well-known HTTP as the conventional protocol used for communication between different components in the network, and to use well-known browser to facilitate this communication.
- 13. As to claims 2, 9, 15, 22, and 29, Silverman discloses assigning a reply subject to the subject-based message (col. 9, lines 2-4).
- 14. As to claims 3, 16, and 28, Silverman does not disclose the point-to-point request message is based on Hypertext Transfer Protocol. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the well-known HTTP as the conventional protocol used for communication between different components in the network, and to use well-known browser to facilitate this communication.
- 15. As to claims 4, 5,10, 11, 17, 18, 23, 24, and 34, Silverman does not disclose the subject-based message denotes a group of subscribers to receive the subject-based message. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Silverman's system and multicast the message to certain group (buyers and sellers) who are qualified based on specified criteria instead of broadcasting the message to all. This

Art Unit: 2154

would result in faster message transmission by decreasing the load on the communication network. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention that in such communication network this grouping can be always dynamic.

- 16. As to claims 6, 12, 19, 25, and 30, Silverman discloses the subject-based message is independent of an identity of a recipient (the title).
- 17. Claims 7, 13, 20, 26, 31, 33, and 35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverman et al. (US 5,136,501), hereafter "Silverman" in view of Cantone et al. (US 6,351,761), hereafter "Cantone".
- 18. As to claims 7, 13, 20, 26, 31, and 35, Silverman does not disclose the subject-based message is independent of a protocol used by a recipient of the subject-based message.

 Cantone, on the other hand discloses the subject-based message is independent of a protocol used by a recipient of the subject-based message (101, 101', Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Silverman and Cantone because Cantone's protocol independence would provide a more flexible communication that result in enhancing the functionality of the system.
- 19. As to claim 33, Cantone discloses a distributed queue, the distributed queue to receive the subject-based message from the server, wherein one of the number of application servers schedules which of the application servers are to process the subject-based message received in the distributed queue (120, Fig. 1).

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/746,757

Art Unit: 2154

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chandra et al. (US 6,216,132); Banavar et al. (US 6,336,119); Bracho et al. (US 5,974,417); Lambert et al. (US 6,038,601); Challenger et al. (US 6,567,893); Chandra et al. (US 6,091,724); Skeen et al. (US 5,557,798); and Humphery (US 2003/0005084).

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabil M El-Hady whose telephone number is (703) 308-7990. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 8, 2004

Nabil El-Hady, Ph.D, M.B.A. Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2154